
From: Poling, Jeanie (CPC)
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2019 7:32 PM
To: Balboa Reservoir Compliance (ECN)
Subject: FW: Opposition to Balboa Reservoir Development

From: michell houwer <houwermish@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2019 10:08 AM
To: Poling, Jeanie (CPC) <jeanie.poling@sfgov.org>; CPC.BalboaReservoir <CPC.BalboaReservoir@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposition to Balboa Reservoir Development

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in opposition to this proposal. I live in the neighborhood and feel that this project especially with the amount of proposed units that you wish to develop would produce a negative impact on both the college and the surrounding community. First of all, anyone who lives in the area understands what a nightmare traffic is already in the morning, afternoon and after work. The busses area already overcrowded with students and commuters. Parking is already virtually impossible with the two existing parking lots for the college. If you were to take away the reservoir parking option this would further strain and impact students, the outlying community and other institutions in the area. Further, the proposed developer that you have selected is already charging a premium for the other apartments that are on ocean which is unaffordable and means that you have double or triple the amount of tenants living in these units just to be able to afford the ridiculous rents. There are other vacant lots such as the old Geneva Drive In where you could place these units. It is not necessary to place a huge amount of units in a small place which critically impacts both the students of CCSF and the outlying community. CCSF has a huge student population and this land should be provided for further development of the college which will provide a better education for our own local residents and not be concerned about techies from other regions. Often times these techies get their housing subsidized by their companies. When are the supervisors going to consider the fact that we need to be more concerned about our local tax payers than these techies from other areas. No doubt techies will uber or lyft to where they need to go; therefore, you will see an influx of additional traffic in our area. We do not need an additional transient population to contend with in our area. If the rents are similar to the sister properties this proposal is a joke and further how long is this developer required to support a portion of lower income housing? It is bad enough that the supervisors are putting a homeless triage center to be replaced by units at Balboa Park Bart. Please add this to the record in opposition of this proposal.

Thank you,
Michell Houwer